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Patrick L Sutton†, Eva H Clark†, Claudia Silva, OraLee H Branch*

Abstract

**Background:** *Plasmodium falciparum* re-emerged in Iquitos, Peru in 1994 and is now hypoendemic (< 0.5 infections/person/year). Purportedly non-immune individuals with discrete (non-overlapping) *P. falciparum* infections can be followed using this population dynamic. Previous work demonstrated a strong association between this population’s antibody response to PfMSP1-19KD and protection against febrile illness and parasitaemia. Therefore, some selection for PfMSP1-19KD allelic diversity would be expected if the protection is to allele-specific sites of PfMSP1-19KD. Here, the potential for allele-specific polymorphisms in this population is investigated, and the allele-specificity of antibody responses to PfMSP1-19KD are determined.

**Methods:** The 42KD region in PfMSP1 was genotyped from 160 individual infections collected between 2003 and 2007. Additionally, the polymorphic block 2 region of Pfmsp1 (Pfmsp1-B2) was genotyped in 781 infection-months to provide a baseline for population-level diversity. To test whether PfMSP1-19KD genetic diversity had any impact on antibody responses, ELISAs testing IgG antibody response were performed on individuals using all four allele-types of PfMSP1-19KD. An antibody depletion ELISA was used to test the ability of antibodies to cross-react between allele-types.

**Results:** Despite increased diversity in Pfmsp1-B2, limited diversity within Pfmsp1-42KD was observed. All 160 infections genotyped were Mad20-like at the Pfmsp1-33KD locus. In the Pfmsp1-19KD locus, 159 (99.4%) were the Q-KNG-F haplotype and 1 (0.6%) was the E-KSNG-L haplotype. Antibody responses in 105 individuals showed that Q-KNG and Q-TSR alleles generated the strongest immune responses, while Q-KNG and E-KNG responses were more concordant with each other than with those from Q-TSR and E-TSR, and vice versa. The immuno-depletion ELISAs showed all samples responded to the antigenic sites shared amongst all allelic forms of PfMSP1-19KD.

**Conclusions:** A non-allele specific antibody response in PfMSP1-19KD may explain why other allelic forms have not been maintained or evolved in this population. This has important implications for the use of PfMSP1-19KD as a vaccine candidate. It is possible that Peruvians have increased antibody responses to the shared sites of PfMSP1-19KD, either due to exposure/parasite characteristics or due to a human-genetic predisposition. Alternatively, these allelic polymorphisms are not immune-specific even in other geographic regions, implying these polymorphisms may be less important in immune evasion that previous studies suggest.
Background

If a population of parasites is able to evolve many different allelic forms of its antigenic proteins while still maintaining the biological function of each protein, it will increase the ability of the parasite population as a whole to evade immune responses. As a result, genetic diversity in antigenic encoding genes can indicate evidence of protective immune responses. However, diversity can also occur through random mutation. If the mutations are not lethal, they might be fixed in the population by random genetic drift. Such a process is very likely in Plasmodium falciparum, where populations undergo frequent constrictions (i.e. a genetic bottleneck, by drug selection pressure) and subsequent clonal expansion/replacement that is not due to selection. One powerful way to distinguish genes under immune selection pressure from those that are varying as a result of genetic drift is to combine genotyping data with antibody data, to establish whether the antigen in question generates an allele-specific immune response - that is, an immune response that differentially targets and kills parasites having different allelic forms.

It is suggested that the most promising malaria vaccine candidates are those which are developed against immunogenic regions of proteins that have been evolutionarily conserved due to functionality constraints, and those in which diversity is limited enough that is not likely to compromise overall vaccine efficacy. A leading vaccine candidate is the C-terminal 19KD portion of the Plasmodium falciparum merozoite surface protein-1 (PfMSP1). PfMSP1 has a primary structure (195KD) [1] that can be divided into 17 blocks based on the conservation and variability of the amino acid sequence [2-4]. PfMSP1-33KD (encoded by block 16) is dimorphic, existing in two major allelic forms: K1-like and Mad20-like. Both of these alleles are genetically conserved with few synonymous and non-synonymous amino acid substitutions within each allelic class [2,4]. PfMSP1-19KD (encoded by block 17) is also relatively conserved, with only a few single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified in its two epidermal growth factor-like (EGF-like) domains. These PfMSP1-19KD EGF-like domains appear to be functionally critical for erythrocyte invasion and elicit anti-parasite immune responses [4-6]. The amino acid polymorphisms in PfMSP1-19KD appear to have evolved in order to evade the human immune response capable of blocking the parasite from invading red blood cells [4,7-10] and are located in six known locations: 1644 (E/Q), 1691 (K/T), 1699 (N/S), 1700 (N/S), 1701 (R/G) and 1716 (F/L) [4,11,12]. The dimorphic nature of the amino acid polymorphism and the resulting major forms of E-KNG, E-TSR, Q-KNG and Q-TSR suggest that some of these alleles emerged by recombination, although this might also be due to functional constraints inhibiting multiple changes of certain sites in one evolutionary leap.

To determine if the four PfMSP1-19KD main allelic forms result in naturally acquired allele-specific immunity, studies have compared antibody responses among individuals naturally exposed to P. falciparum malaria. A study by Shi et al [13] compared antibody responses against the four major allele-types of PfMSP1-19KD in a population of individuals highly exposed to all allelic forms [13]. Not surprisingly, individuals could respond to various alleles. However, it was noted that the anti-E-KNG and anti-Q-KNG responses correlated more closely with each other, and similarly the anti-E-TSR and anti-Q-TSR responses correlated more closely, suggesting that the -KNG and -TSR epitopes are targets of allele-specific immunity [13]. In a more recent study, Mamillapalli et al [14] developed an assay to test allele-specific cross-reactivity/specificity [14]. By conducting an immuno-depletion assay on sera from three acutely infected individuals (who had been previously infected with many different allelic types), they observed antibody responses to both allele-specific and shared sites [14].

In order to advance vaccine candidate antigens such as PfMSP1-19KD along the development pipeline, the range of antigenic diversity in the targeted population must be known, and also the likelihood that the antigen generates antibody responses to shared sites that might be associated with protection. The goal of the current study was to determine if there are different PfMSP1-19KD allelic forms circulating at the study site in Iquitos, Peru, and whether P. falciparum infected individuals at the study site develop antibody responses to shared (conserved) sites, or whether the responses are allele-specific to the four main family alleles: E-KNG, Q-KNG, E-TSR, and Q-TSR.

Since 2003, a longitudinal active case detection cohort study, (Malaria Immunology and Genetics in the Amazon, MIGIA) has been conducted in one of the highest malaria transmission communities near Iquitos, Peru, called Zunharococha, where P. falciparum malaria re-emerged in 1994 after more than 30 years of convalescence. Since the 1994-1998 epidemic there has been sustained low transmission in this region (< 0.5 P. falciparum infections/person/year in Zunharococha since 2003) [15,16]. From infections occurring between 2003 and 2007, Pfmsp1-42KD (Pfmsp1-33KD + Pfmsp1-19KD) was PCR-genotyped to determine family-type, and then Pfmsp1-19KD was sequenced to determine the genetic diversity in this region. Additionally, the population-level diversity was further characterized by genotyping the polymorphic Pfmsp1-Block 2 (Pfmsp1-B2) as a
baseline for the potential population-level diversity. PfMSP1-19KD antibody responses at the study site were then measured to the four major PfMSP1-19KD allele-types and antibody depletion ELISA experiments were performed to determine the degree of cross-reactivity in the antibody responses to each PfMSP1-19KD allele-type. The results have implications for the development of PfMSP1 based vaccines.

Methods

Study design

Blood samples were collected in Zungarococha (N = 1907) during the malaria transmission season (January-July) from 2003 to 2007. Details on the study site and design are described by Branch et al [16]. In brief, active case detection included a beginning and ending malaria season community-wide cross-sectional survey and also a selection of approximately 200 individuals each month during the malaria season for weekly visits for one month. Additionally, there was passive case detection executed in the health center. This study had ethical approval from U.S.A and Peruvian ethical review boards, and all participants provided informed consent and/or assent, and these approvals continue with annual review.

In the active case detection, blood slides from individuals who had a body temperature of ≥38.3°C, reported having a high fever within two days, or had a haematocrit < 30% pcv, had their blood slides read by expert microscopists within one day and were treated within one day if positive. If an individual was asymptomatic, there could be six days before reading the microscopy slide. At the next scheduled visit (one week later) another blood sample was collected and any individual who was found with malaria parasites on the week before visit had their blood slide read immediately. Therefore, asymptomatic individuals have more than one blood slide collected during a given infection before treatment. Treatment regimens are reported in Branch et al [16].

Sample selection for genotypification of Pfmsp1-33KD and Pfmsp1-19KD

Plasmodium falciparum infections were detected by microscopy or by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [16,17]. Sample size was estimated by comparing a known proportion to an anticipated proportion, accounting for the detection of rare alleles occurring in this population at a rate of at least one percent with a power of 80% [18]. To adequately represent the population in this cohort, gender, age, community, and year of infection were considered when selecting samples.

PCR-genotyping of Pfmsp1-33KD and Pfmsp1-19KD

All samples selected for this study underwent a semi-nested-PCR reaction. Due to the dimorphic nature of Pfmsp1-42KD, family-specific primers for the Mad20 and K1 allelic families were designed for both primary and secondary PCRs. To test whether recombinatory events were occurring within these regions, all permutations of these primers were tested on all samples. Primers were designed from previously established sequences [4]. The primary PCR amplifies an approximate 1200 bp region at the C-terminal end of Pfmsp1, including both Pfmsp1-33KD and Pfmsp1-19KD. Two primary PCRs were performed using family-specific primers: Mad20 forward 5’-GCAATATCCTGTCAACAATGG (1358) or K1 forward 5’-GCAGTAACTCCTTCCGTAATTG (1329) in combination with a universal reverse 5’-TTAGAGGAACCTGCAAAAATACCA (1729). Four secondary PCRs were performed using amplified/non-amplified product form the primary PCR, accounting for all possible permutations of these primers. African clones positive for each individual allele-type were used as positive controls. This PCR amplifies Pfmsp1-19KD and an N-terminal flanking region (approximately 450 bp): Mad20 middle forward 5’-CCAATAACGACTTGAAGC (1580) or K1 middle forward 5’-CGTTGGAATTTGCTGTATTATCAAACAG (1585), and the universal reverse (Figure 1, A and 1B).

Both primary and secondary PCRs were initially performed in a 25 μL total reaction volume. If there was amplification in the secondary PCR, the secondary PCR was performed again at an increased total reaction volume of 100 μL for sequencing. Mastermixes for primary and secondary PCRs were comprised of 5’ and 3’ primers [0.8 mM] (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc, Coralville, IA, USA), deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP) mixture [0.4 mM] (Invitrogen™, Carlsbad, CA, USA), MgCl2 [1 mM] (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 5x PCR buffer, 1 U of Taq polymerase (Promega Go Taq Flexi, Madison, WI, USA), molecular grade water, and blood extracted DNA (genomic DNA adjusted to 20-40 ng/μL) or externally amplified (PCR amplicon) DNA. All PCRs were performed in an Eppendorf Mastercycler® ep (Westbury, NY, USA). Mastermixes were adjusted accordingly for increases in total reaction volume.

Cycling conditions for the primary reaction were as follows: denaturation at 94°C for 1-min, 1 cycle; denaturation at 94°C for 45-sec, anneal at 55°C for 45-sec, extension time of 1.5-min at 60°C, 40 cycles (35 cycles for secondary PCR); and a final extension cycle of 60°C for 5-min. Products from each primary and secondary PCR were individually visualized by UV illumination after gel electrophoresis using a 1.5% concentration of Agarose, Genetic Technology Grade (MP Biochemicals, LLC, Solon, OH, USA). Each allele was characterized by family allele-type based upon visualization of bands after amplification with family-specific primers. A 100 bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen™, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to determine product size.
Sequencing of Pfmsp1-19KD
Products from secondary PCRs performed in 100 μL total reaction volume were individually visualized by UV illumination after gel electrophoresis using a 1.5% concentration of UltraPure™ Agarose 1000 (Invitrogen™, Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR products were isolated and then purified using a Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen®, Valencia, CA, USA). Purified PCR products at a final concentration of 10 ng/μL were sequenced. The 5’ and 3’ primers [2.0 μM] for each allelic family were used to sequence their respective alleles. All sequencing was performed on an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA, USA) by the University of Alabama at Birmingham, Howell and Elizabeth Heflin Center for Human Genetics. Sequences were preliminarily viewed using Chromas 2.33 (©2003-2008 Technelysium Pty Ltd), but aligned using ClustalX2 [19].

PCR-genotyping of Pfmsp1-B2
Pfmsp1-B2 genotyping was attempted on all available samples that were microscopy and/or PCR positive for P. falciparum between 2003 and 2007 (781 P. falciparum infection-months). Given the active case detection study design (with frequent sampling during follow-up) it was possible that an individual could be sampled multiple times over the course of weeks. Infections were defined as one or more malaria-positive sample point(s) during the follow-up. All sampled time points within one month before and after the infection were considered. The sampling could begin before the initial malaria positive sample or after, with an overall sampling time frame of ≤30 days (four weeks of sampling follow-up planned). For this reason, infections are called “infection-months,” and this is the denominator used when considering the number of infections followed and the frequency of the different parasite alleles detected [20]. The appendence of 2005-2007 genotyping data to previously reported years 2003-2004 [20] allows for the establishment of a continued baseline of the potential population-level diversity. Previously reported genotyping methods were used for main allelic family analysis [20-23]. Additionally, all
permutations of primers were used to detect any allelic families that are the result of recombination (Figure 1, A and 1C). PCR products for the K1, Mad20, and RO33 allelic families were observed; no Mad20-RO33 recombinant alleles were observed [20,21].

Measurement of IgG
ELISA for total IgG was performed as described previously [24,25]. Plates (Nunc-Immuno™ Polystyrene Plates, A Part of ThermoFisher Scientific, Rochester, NY, USA) were coated with 50 μL/well of recombinant PfMSP1-19KD (E-KNG, Q-KNG, E-TSR, or Q-TSR) at a concentration of 0.25 ng/μL. Recombinant E-KNG, E-TSR, and Q-KNG PfMSP1-19KD antigens were obtained from the MR4 division of ATCC (Manassas, VA). Recombinant Q-TSR was provided by David Kaslow. The plates were then blocked with BBS and 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA). Sera samples were diluted 1:100 in Sera Dilution Buffer (1.5% nonfat milk in AB washing solution [0.15 M Na2HPO4, 0.15 M NaH2PO4, NaCl, 0.05% Tween20, and 0.05% BSA]) and added to plates coated with PfMSP1-19KD antigens. After incubating the plates for two hours and then washing them with AB Wash, 50 μL of secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat-anti-human-IgG (Chemicon, Millipore™ Billerica, MA, USA) was added to each well. The reaction was stopped after approximately six minutes using 25 μL of 0.25 M HCl per well. The plates were read at 450 nm (A450) with an ELISA plate-reader (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Six serum samples from healthy non-exposed Peruvians were used as negative controls. The positive controls included a “positive pool” made-up of 5 different P. falciparum-infected individuals. A negative cut-off value (the average of the negative control samples plus two times the standard deviation of the negative controls) was calculated for each experiment day to determine the difference between negative and positive optical density (OD) thresholds for each antigen.

Statistical analysis of PfMSP1-19KD ELISA data
The statistical study of the antibody response data for the PfMSP1-19KD allele-types was completed in two analyses. The first compared paired, continuous OD values (N = 105), while the second compared the frequencies of categorical classifications (positive versus negative) of each value. In both analyses, “positive” describes any result greater than the negative cut-off value and “negative” describes any value less than or equal to the negative cutoff value. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 4.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

Antibody depletion ELISAs
To evaluate the cross-reactivity of antibodies against each PfMSP1-19KD allele in sera samples from 18 different individuals, an ELISA was performed after antibody depletion with each of the allelic forms. This method was similar to the immunoassays used by Mamillapalli et al [14], where the assay was called “Antibody depletion ELISAs.” After diluting the patient sera at 1:100 in AB Wash + 1.5% milk, the sera solution was placed in the first row of a 96-well primary plate (primary plates were coated with 50 ng of antigen per well in the first row of the plate and 100 ng of antigen per well in the seven consecutive rows). Patient sera were plated separately on E-KNG, Q-KNG, E-TSR, and Q-TSR primary plates. After incubating the sera for 30 min, it was then transferred to the next row of wells, where it was again incubated for 30 min. The wells of the primary plate were washed once with 50 μL of AB wash after each transfer, and the residual wash buffer was then placed with the sera to recover as much antibody as possible from the primary plates. Seven such transfers were performed. After the last transfer, the sera was transferred to secondary ELISA plates—one secondary plate for each of the four allele-types coated at 50 ng of antigen per well in all wells (Figure 2)—where it was incubated for one hour, and then the wells of both the primary and secondary plates were washed 4 times with AB wash and the ELISA was completed as described above in “Measurement of IgG.”

Results
Genetic diversity of PfMSP1-33KD and PfMSP1-19KD
From 781 P. falciparum infection-months with DNA available for testing, DNA samples were selected representing 187 infections (participants). Sample selection was guided by gender, age, community, and year of infection (Table 1). The genotyping results were clear for all 187 samples, but reliable sequences were only achieved on 160 of the 187 samples.

There was no allelic variation observed within PfMSP1-33KD, as all 160 participant samples were identified as the Mad20 allele-type in this region. Primer permutations were used in the secondary PCR to determine if recombinatory events had occurred in recent evolutionary history between family allele-types in this region. The semi-nested secondary PCR did not suggest recombination throughout PfMSP1-33KD. When PfMSP1-19KD was sequenced, 159 (99.4%) samples were identified as the Q-KSNG-F variant, while only one sample (0.6%) was identified as the E-KSNG-L variant. The E-KSNG-L variant was detected in year-2003.
and not in the four subsequent years of this study (Table 2).

**Genetic diversity of Pfmsp1-block 2**

There were 951 Pfmsp1-B2 alleles detected in the 781 infection-months in this study from 2003-2007. Of the 781 infection-months, 80.0% were single infections, while 20.0% were complex infections (defined by the detection of more than one Pfmsp1-B2 allele in a single sample or throughout the infection-month).

The K1, Mad20, and RO33 allelic families that have been detected globally in prior studies were detected in Zungarococha. Three K1 allele-types (170 bp, 195 bp, 220 bp), three Mad20 allele-types (200 bp, 210 bp, 230 bp), and one RO33 allele-type (140 bp) were observed. Of the 951 alleles detected, 499 (52.5%) were K1, 431 (45.3%) were Mad20, and 21 (2.2%) were RO33 (Table 3). Though the K1 and Mad20 allelic families appear to be equally distributed, when these infections were analysed over time there was a decrease in the detection of K1 allele-types (74.7% to 44.7% between 2003-2007), complemented by an increase in the detection Mad20 allele-types (20.2% to 55.3% between 2003-2007). The RO33 main allelic family was observed at a low frequency throughout all years and as part of a mixed infection in all instances but one. Individual allele-types for Pfmsp1-B2 allelic families can be found in Table 3.

**Antibody responses to PfMSP1-19KD IgG**

Total IgG antibody responses to all four PfMSP1-19KD allele-types were tested on 105 samples. Of the 105 individuals, 62 (59.1%) were positive for all alleles, and 27 (25.7%) were negative for all alleles. Considering the optical density (OD) readings, the mean values for Q-KNG and Q-TSR were the highest of the four alleles, (1.139 and 0.983, respectively), followed by EKNG (mean = 0.963) and ETSR (0.904) (Table 4). However, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, Friedman test) comparing values for all four PfMSP1-19KD allele-types indicated that there was a significant difference between variances ($P < 0.0001$; Friedman statistic = 58.25) when compared together. Table 5 shows the between groups post-tests performed during this analysis, indicating that there were significant differences

---

**Table 1: Distribution of population variables used in sample selection for Pfmsp1-19KD genotyping**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤14-years</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥15-years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llancharma</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninarumi</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Almendra</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zungarococha</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between Q-KNG and E-KNG, Q-KNG and Q-TSR, and Q-KNG versus E-TSR (all P < 0.0001), while there were no significant differences between any of the other allelic combinations.

Allele-specific/shared site responses

First, individuals’ responses to each *Pf MSP1-19KD* allele-type were considered. Figure 3 shows positive (stratified into high positive [HP], medium positive [MP], and low positive [LP]) and negative categorical values for each allele in a pair-wise manner. E-KNG responses matched Q-KNG responses 85.7% of the time and E-TSR 77.1% of the time. However, the E-KNG responses matched Q-TSR responses only 73.3% of the time. Similarly, Q-KNG matched Q-TSR 77.1% and E-TSR 72.4% of the time. Q-TSR and E-TSR were consistently close in value 83.8% of the time.

In a paired correlation analysis comparing the continuous OD measurements to the four allele-types, E-TSR and Q-TSR were found to correlate at the highest rate (correlation coefficient = 0.938, see Table 6), although E-KNG and Q-KNG had a similarly high correlation coefficient (0.935). The lowest correlation coefficient was found to be between E-KNG and E-TSR, but it was still relatively high at 0.852. Correlations between all pairings were significant (P < 0.0001).

### Antibody depletion experiments

The next step consisted of a series of antibody depletion experiments, to evaluate the site-shared (cross-reactive) versus allele-specific responses to the four *Pf MSP1-19KD* allele-types. In essence, the antibodies to sites shared between the antigens on the primary and secondary ELISA plates are absorbed (depleted) on the primary plate, so that only antibody to the differing antigen sites are left to bind to the secondary plate.

Eighteen different serum samples were tested, 12 of which had tested high positive when evaluated by ELISA for *Pf MSP1-19KD* IgG to each of the four different allele-types, and the remaining six which tested low positive. These individuals were all infected with the Q-KNG allele-type parasite at some time between one and three months before this sera sample was collected (Figure 2).

Patient sera depleted with the Q-KNG allele-type did not have a positive antibody response remaining to any of the other allele-types, indicating that there was not a -TSR or E- specific antibody response. With respect to the Q- or -KNG specific responses, it was observed that there remained a strong E-KNG response in eight of the 18 individuals after depleting with Q-TSR, and, similarly, after depleting with E-KNG 7 of the 18 individuals had a Q-TSR antibody response. Considering all the allele-specific antibody depletion permutations (as shown in Figure 4), after antibody depletion with Q- and/or -TSR allelic forms, 14 individuals had antibody responses. Of these 14 individuals, 11 had both -KNG and Q- specific responses while all 14 had Q- specific antibody
responses (even in the absence of the -KNG). Figure 4 shows the mean antibody level after each of the antibody depletions.

Discussion

The genetic diversity of PfMSP1-19KD and the potential allele-specific immunity versus shared site immunity must be known for any population undergoing a vaccine trial. Studies in regions of high transmission have suggested that naturally occurring protective antibody responses against the PfMSP1-19KD vaccine candidate might be allele-specific to one or more of the four main allelic forms: E-KNG, E-TSR, Q-KNG, and Q-TSR. The global distribution and evidence for differential recognition of these four major allele-types provides further support for allele-specific immunity and clinical protection [4,7-11,26-31]. Although bearing hallmarks of allele-specific selection, the genetic diversity within PfMSP1-19KD could be explained by genetic drift. Moreover, there has only been one study that investigated this allele-specific immunity in naturally infected individuals. This well-designed study showed evidence for allele-specific and shared site antibody responses, but only three individuals were tested [14]. Additionally, there might be allele-specific antibody responses only at the time of acute infection, with no association to protective immunity upon subsequent infection.

In Iquitos, Peru, after 40 years of effective malaria elimination campaigns, P. falciparum reemerged in 1994 and continued as an epidemic until 1998 [15,16]. Since 1998, there has been sustained low transmission of P. falciparum (< 0.5 infections/person/year) [16]. It was demonstrated that there is considerable genetic diversity in the polymorphic Pfmsp1-B2. Despite this potential for elevated diversity in this region of low transmission, it did not predict an increase in the population-level diversity within Pfmsp1-19KD. However, no population-level diversity associated with the Pfmsp1-42KD was found, as all 160 samples were of the Mad20 allele-type. An insignificant amount of population-level diversity in the polymorphic Pfmsp1-B2. Despite this potential for elevated diversity in this region of low transmission, it did not predict an increase in the population-level diversity.
reported finding only the Q-KSNG-L allelic form of PfMSP1-19KD in all four study sites.

This extreme conservation is surprising. Even though low genetic diversity in the C-terminal domain was expected, there was enough diversity in the PfMSP1-B2 (all three main families: K1, Mad20 and RO33) for us to expect increased diversity in PfMSP1-19KD, consistent with what may have entered during the epidemic between 1994 and 1998 and/or migrated in (geneflow) during the sustained post-epidemic, low transmission years. This epidemic in the Peruvian Amazon likely included parasites migrating from Brazil and other South American countries [15,16]. However, even if one or more of the other Pfmsp1-19KD allele-types were introduced into this population and not maintained due to an immune response selection, it is likely that they would be lost due to genetic drift in low transmission. The diversity in Pfmsp1-B2 and Pfmsp1-19KD in various studies is shown in Additional File 1.

Previous work has shown a strong association between this population’s antibody response to PfMSP1-19KD and protection against febrile illness and parasite density [24]. It has also been shown that anti-PfMSP1-19KD antibody responses appear long-lasting (at least two months in most individuals and longer than four months in many individuals) with more than 50% of the infections detected being asymptomatic [24]. It is possible that these factors might explain the lack of genetic diversity in the Pfmsp1-19KD, but regardless if there was protection to allele-specific sites within PfMSP1-19KD, some selection for PfMSP1-19KD allelic diversity would have been anticipated. Of course, it is possible that this protection (asymptomatic infections associated with the antibody response) is not anti-PfMSP1-19KD mediated - and so there would be no immunologic selection on PfMSP1-19KD at all. It is also possible that the antibody response is to shared sites/conserved antigenic determinants and so any pre-existing alternate allele and/or mutation to another allele-type has not been selected for over time and, therefore, lost.

To evaluate whether there are allele-specific responses, the IgG antibody responses to each of the alleles was investigated, and then a series of antibody depletion ELISAs were performed. Although the highest average antibody levels were shown by Q-KNG and Q-TSR, categorical responses to E-KNG and Q-KNG were more similar with each other than to Q-TSR or to E-TSR. In turn, responses to Q-TSR and E-TSR were found to be more similar than to E-KNG or Q-KNG. Earlier studies concluded that this suggests less cross-reactivity between the secondary epidermal growth factor (EGF) domain of the 19KD region of PfMSP1 (-KNG versus -TSR) [13]. However, to truly determine if there is a -KNG versus -TSR or E-K versus E-K allele-specific response, a more sophisticated antibody depletion experiment is required.

Because Q-KNG is the only PfMSP1-19KD allele detected in this region since 2004, all measured antibody responses must be to shared sites or Q-KNG-specific.

**Figure 4 Immunodepletion results.** The mean residual antibody OD values are shown on the y-axis, antigens that underwent immunodepletion are shown on the z-axis, and secondary antibody responses are shown on the x-axis. The range for all samples was 0.019-0.142. The standard error of most comparisons was low (with a range of 0.00-0.05), and so not shown on this graphic.
Indeed, it was found that after immunodepletion with the recombinant Q-KNG allele there was little response to any of the four allelic-forms of PfMSP1-19KD. In addition, it was observed that anti-Q-KNG antibodies in patient sera were not depleted by either E-KNG or E-TSR, although Q-TSR depleted Q-KNG antibodies in 10 of 18 individuals. Therefore, the majority of the response appears to be to conserved/shared antigenic sites, with some allele specific response, particularly to the Q-. Although a parasite having E-KNG was detected in year 2003, there was no allele-specific response detected to E- in these individuals.

Whether or not this “conserved” antibody response to PfMSP1-19KD is protective is a subject which requires further study. An ongoing study in this population has provided evidence that this response is associated with protection against clinical illness and parasitaemia. For example, children with a positive PfMSP1-19KD antibody response one to two weeks prior to infection (i.e. the child was previously infected with *P. falciparum*) were just as likely to have an asymptomatic infection as an adult in this population. Conversely, adults with a negative antibody response 1-2 weeks prior to infection were just as likely to have a symptomatic infection as a child in this population. This and other findings agree with the many other studies suggesting that antibodies to PfMSP1-19KD are associated with protection [6,5,10,34-36]. A study of the same individuals’ successive infections over time is being performed to thoroughly test if antibody responses to shared sites of PfMSP1-19KD are associated with protection. This involves testing how immune responses develop over time to various malaria antigens and how one or more of the antibody responses are associated with the development of exposure-related immunity. Furthermore, this highly Q-KNG exposed population will make it possible to take the anti-PfMSP1-19KD antibody and determine if it will cause growth inhibition of *P. falciparum* parasites during *in vitro* culture.

**Conclusions**

A non-allele specific antibody response in PfMSP1-19KD may explain why other allelic forms have not been maintained or evolved in this population. This has important implications for the use of PfMSP1-19KD as a vaccine candidate. It is possible that Peruvians have increased antibody responses to the shared sites of PfMSP1-19KD, either due to exposure/parasite characteristics (like complexity of infection, Branch *et al* [23]) or due to a human-genetic predisposition. Alternatively, these allelic polymorphisms are not immune-specific even in other geographic regions, implying these polymorphisms may be less important in immune evasion that previous studies suggest.
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